

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

VIDEO GAMING TECHNOLOGIES,
INC., dba VGT, INC. a Tennessee Corp.,
et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BUREAU OF GAMBLING CONTROL,
a law enforcement division of the
California Dept. of Justice, *et al.*,

Defendants.


No. C 08-02748 SBA

**ORDER SETTING BRIEFING
SCHEDULE RE. TRANSFER**

The parties are ORDERED to file briefs of no more than five pages, with the Court, **by 1:00 p.m., on June 4, 2008**, stating why the Court should or should not transfer this matter to the Eastern District of California, under 28 U.S.C. 1404(a). In the alternative, plaintiffs may withdraw this matter and re-file it in the Eastern District.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

June 4, 2008


Sandra Brown Armstrong
United States District Judge